

20011209.ba v03_n265.bam.20011209

>From ???@??? Sun Dec 9 20:25:43 2001 -0600
Message-Id: <200112100225.fBA2PW0w011468@sco.theporch.com>
Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 20:25:02 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3265

BOATANCHORS Digest 3265

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) PAM loudspeaker manual found!
by "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
- 2) Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
by "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
- 3) Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
by Mike Feher <n4fs@monmouth.com>
- 4) Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
by Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 5) Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
by "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ks@tiac.net>
- 6) RE: [MilSurplus] BC 640 transmitter questions...
by "R. W. Downs - BLB, AL" <RWDowns_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
- 7) 25 watt resistors
by AA5QT@aol.com
- 8) Fwd: CRT Info
by "russ dworakowski" <wb3fau@hotmail.com>
- 9) ASB-4 ?
by BEN NOCK <G4BXD@compuserve.com>
- 10) [Fwd: The Spark Transmitter and Early Radio]
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
- 11) ADMINISTRIVIA: No More Reposts
by listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
- 12) Re: 390A - What do I do now?
by "Arthur I. Larky" <ail0@lehigh.edu>
- 13) Re: 390A - What do I do now?
by Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 14) Re: The Spark Transmitter and Early Radio]
by "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
- 15) whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
by john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
- 16) Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
by "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
- 17) Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
by David Hollander <n7rk@dancris.com>
- 18) Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?

by "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
19) Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
by "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
20) Field Day - 1930's style
by Robert Nickels <ranickel@mwci.net>

Date: Fri, 7 Dec 2001 23:14:07 -0700
Message-ID: <005601c17faf\$8c1b5390\$0100a8c0@smeccxp>
From: "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: PAM loudspeaker manual found!
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

will the person that was hunting info on a PAM navy loudspeaker system
please contact us.... We have found the manual when unpacking a box today
to add things to the reference library!

Ed Sharpe Archivist for SMECC

see the museum at:
<http://www.smecc.org>

Message-ID: <01b301c17fc7\$40bc8280\$6de8b3d1@hppav>
From: "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 00:50:01 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Also, for \$20 - \$30 you can buy "generic" aftermarket probes from Digikey,
Jade East, Mouser, etc. Have used many of these in aerospace, and they last
as well as the Tek or HP units.

Don Davis AD6PB

----- Original Message -----
From: "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@miavx1.acs.muohio.edu>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2001 3:06 PM

Subject: Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?

> Hi Jerry,
> I'd hate to think people are passing up good scope opportunities because
> of
> missing probes! Unless you're thinking of active probes, which go for many
> \$\$\$, I've always been able to find Tek or HP probes for about \$10-\$20
> each.
> I see new, surplus ones every year at Dayton, and you can find them easily
> for that price range on EBay.
> 73,
> Jim Garland W8ZR
>
>
> >Calibration and missing probes are two thoughts which cross my mind when
> I
> >see a
> >good 'scope at a fleamarket. Invariably, I walk away when I realize that
> the
> >cost of replacing the missing probes will add several hundred dollars to
> >the cost
> >of the purchase without even considering a recalibration.
> >
> >--
> >
> >Regards,
> >Jerry Proc VE3FAB
> >Toronto, Ontario
> >e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
> ><http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>
>

Date: Sat, 08 Dec 2001 04:41:35 -0500
From: Mike Feher <n4fs@monmouth.com>
Subject: Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <008501c17fcc\$88a11ac0\$20c5be18@n4fs>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Dave -

I do not know how much newer the 3325A that I have is than your 3330B. I suspect mine is a newer unit from the styling. Since I have every HP catalog since 1963 I guess I could find out, but it is really besides the point. I

bought my 3325A, which essentially provides the same functionality as your 3330B, brand new from HP about 20 years ago. About 10 years ago it started to be intermittent and at times not work at all. I had all the manuals and yet was beginning to pull my hair out when I finally located the problem. In my particular unit they used ribbon wire as interconnects between boards and had a crimp on multi pin connector. Well, it turned out that one of those connectors did not always have a good grip on the wire it was supposed to connect to. Interestingly a few years ago I had an 8505A network analyzer die on me. Same situation. The transformer had one of those crimp on connectors on the secondary windings, and the winding feeding the 5 volt regulator became open. The problem again turned out to be the connector and when it was not making a good contact it was at a high resistance and created a lot of heat. Eventually the connector melted on that end breaking all connections for the 5 volts and I ended up with a dead instrument. I am not saying that you have the same problem, but, if you have those style connectors in your unit you may want to check them out. 73 - Mike

Mike B. Feher, N4FS
89 Arnold Blvd.
Howell NJ, 07731
(732) 901-9193

Date: Sat, 08 Dec 2001 02:38:31 -0800
From: Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
Subject: Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <0G0000FOATKK5L@mta6.snfc21.pbi.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Probitis is what I usually call it. Problems with trying to get a job done often are a result of the scope probe going bad. For some reason, no genius has emerged to design a probe that is free of reliability problems. Could it be there is something ignominious about trying to serve the needs of man through better probe design? Must be.

My solution has been to salvage the failed probes from the bottom of someone's junk drawer and make a good probe from pieces. One solution for some models of Tek probes is to solder the two halves of the diecast clamshell covers together. The ground leads are the biggest problem. Why is it that people are too lazy to repair a broken ground lead? "Aahh, you don't need those, that ringing doesn't bother me." That usually from a

digital dope who just looks for highs and lows. My home shop is equipped with reconstituted probes. But, much as I try, they go bad fairly regularly too. What this country needs is to postpone exploring outer space and go to work on creating a really good oscilloscope probe!!!!

Arden Allen KB6NAX Vallejo, CA gumbear@pacbell.net

From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1kszt@tiac.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: HP Test Equipment Repair?
Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 15:37:08 -0500
Message-ID: <LOBBJFMMJJCIMIAGBJLKEGFGJAA.w1kszt@tiac.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I second the comment on finding probes at Flea Markets. I have found several at MIT and HossTraders, including one rated to 250 MHz for \$10 !!.

If you are located near Boston and need a scope calibrated, I bought a number of TEK Calibration Modules and TM-500 power supplies. I can calibrate most any scope, usually for free !!!

73, Dick, W1KSZ

Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 19:19:00 -0500
From: "R. W. Downs - BLB, AL" <RWDowns_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
Subject: RE: [MilSurplus] BC 640 transmitter questions...
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-ID: <200112081919_MC3-E9E9-9B19@compuserve.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Disposition: inline

Group,

Many of the entries in that conversion manual had comments like that (insulting, as though a well known Ham magazine editor of the time had written them). The BC-375 is another one that incurred the conversion manual editor's ire. I had two complete (green) BC-640's in the late 60's and early 70's. Most TV sets of that time were as cheaply (i.e., poorly)=

built as those of the late 40's and early 50's. I never had a TVI problem.

> BTW, I do remember from an old surplus conversion manual the the BC-64=0
was
> claimed to be the only set known to "knock out every TV receiver in a two
> block radius even when unplugged" (big grin). Seems to me that SOMEONE
> must have had<

Robert W. Downs
<rwdowns_wa5cab@compuserve.com>
Houston, TX/Bayou LaBatre, AL

From: AA5QT@aol.com
Message-ID: <15a.5686912.2944137c@aol.com>
Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 20:08:12 EST
Subject: 25 watt resistors
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have about 200 NOS 25 watt filament dropping resistors. These are the large rectangular ones made by Workman and Hamilton. They are all different resistances, mostly if not all under 500 ohms. They're all in their original plastic hangars.

They're not doing me any good, so I'll let them go for postage, or better yet, free to someone who can stop by and pick them up.

If I don't get a local, I'll draw a winning name out of a hat tomorrow night.

73, Gary Youney K5QT near Dallas

From: "russ dworakowski" <wb3fau@hotmail.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Fwd: CRT Info
Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 00:29:02 -0500
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Message-ID: <LAW2-F68fPTeip68V8z00002bcf@hotmail.com>

Dear fellow BAs, does anyone know if a 5NP1 or a 5HP1 will sub. for a 5BP1 oscilloscope tube. Russ wb3fau

>From: Fair Radio Sales <surplus@wcoil.com>

>To: wb3fau@hotmail.com

>Subject: CRT Info

>

>I was unable to find information to determine whether the 5NP1 and or 5HP1
>will work in place of the 5BP1. I thought I had a 5BP1, but do not. The
>5NP1 and 5HP1 do have the same socket, but I do not know if it is the same
>as the 5BP1.

>

>Phil S.

>

>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 05:59:59 -0500

From: BEN NOCK <G4BXD@compuserve.com>

Subject: ASB-4 ?

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Message-ID: <200112090600_MC3-E9FF-C743@compuserve.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset=ISO-8859-1

Content-Disposition: inline

What the gen on a ASB-4 rx ?

freq, use etc. =

thanks. Ben G4BXD.

G4BXD@qsl.net

Message-ID: <3C139A86.60DAE9AE@sympatico.ca>

Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 12:08:22 -0500

From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: skywide@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [Fwd: The Spark Transmitter and Early Radio]
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Subject:[The WS#19 Group] Must-read article about spark and early radio
Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 11:40:04 -0500
From: Chuck Counselman <ccc@space.mit.edu>
To:19set@yahoogroups.com
CC: charles.a.hobson@btinternet.com

Just when you thought you couldn't stand to read another word about
spark transmitters, along comes the best article ever:

http://ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html.

A must-read for anyone interested in the early development of radio,
particularly for Canadians and Fessenden fans. Written by well-known
Canadian radioscientist Jack Belrose, VE2CV.

Thanks to Chuck G0MDK <charles.a.hobson@btinternet.com> for pointing
out this exceptional article.

73 de Chuck, W1HIS

--

Regards,
Jerry Proc VE3FAB
Toronto, Ontario
e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

Message-Id: <200112091915.fB9JF11U026893@osr506.nanniandjack.com>
From: listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: ADMINISTRIVIA: No More Reposts
Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 11:15:00 -0800 (PST)

Gang-

This is a reminder that reposting of For Sale or Wanted items from
other internet sources (lists and auctions) is discouraged....
nay prohibited on the BoatAnchors list.

If a BA list member wants to post the item elsewhere and on the BA list,

that creates NO problem. What we are trying to avoid are "seen on EBay" or "prime rig on xxx list" type posts... Very few BA members limit their searching to just the BA list!

The problem comes when the poster in the other venue is not asked about the re-post... without the permission of the poster, problems are guaranteed, and I do not have the time, the energy, or the desire to address and deal with the headaches that re-posting causes... I'll grant you that folks in other venues need to be re-calibrated, but I do NOT want to referee! ;^)

So, henceforth, let's have NO re-posts from other sources referred to the list. Yes, I know this may sound pretty provincial, but those who really care have browsers and know where to look, and those who don't, probably don't....

I do NOT need the aggravation of someone who posts to a newsgroup and then has to fight off the hungry folks from the BA list who want to rearrange his viewpoint or calibrate his selling technique.

ENOUGH! Already... Just don't do it!

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - Mailing List Archiver/Owner - - -
listtown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"
"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"
Sun Dec 9 11:15:00 PST 2001

Message-ID: <3C13D1D7.5BAD3AEF@lehigh.edu>
Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 16:04:24 -0500
From: "Arthur I. Larky" <ail0@lehigh.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: 390A - What do I do now?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Someone on this thread suggested that using smaller line bypass capacitors would save you money by consuming less power. In fact, larger capacitors save you MORE money than smaller capacitors. (I'm not suggesting that you use larger capacitors since the other arguments for smaller ones still apply, merely that there is a funny thing that you might be interested in knowing about. If you are not interested in some EE math, I suggest you go Christmas shopping.)

I will assume, because it makes the math easy, that you have 0.75 mfd worth of line bypass capacitors installed.

The reactance of a 1mfd capacitor at 60 cycles is 2660 ohms. I will use 2700 ohms because it makes the math easier. The smaller the capacity, the larger the reactance, so we need to divide by 0.75 to get the reactance for our 0.75mfd capacitance. This means divide by 3/4, so 2700 divided by 3 = 900 ohms. 900 multiplied by 4 = 3600 ohms for 3/4 of a mfd.

Assuming a line voltage of 120 vac at 60 cycles, a 3600 ohm reactance will draw $120/3600$ amps = $1/30$ amp = 33 ma.

The volt amperes drawn from the line by this capacitance = $120 \times 1/30 = 4$.

As they say in the TV infomercials that are trying to sell you a useless gadget:

BUT WAIT! That 4 is NOT watts, it is VARs - Volt Amperes Reactive. VARs don't use

power! A perfect capacitor stores energy on one half of the AC cycle and returns that energy on the other half of the cycle. Net power use = zero.

Now it gets interesting:

The voltage in a capacitor does not rise until current goes into it. If you look at the AC voltage across a capacitor and the AC current into the capacitor, the current LEADS the voltage; that is, it rises before the voltage. (90 degrees out of phase.) For this reason, EEs say that it has a LEADING power factor. Leading power factor VARs are negative by convention, so our capacitor draws -4 VARs.

Inductive loads, in contrast, buck the current, so their voltage rises before the current and EEs say an inductor has a LAGGING power factor. Lagging VARs have a positive sign.

Why do you care about power factor since it does not represent real power and your power company only charges for real power? Because the reactive current will heat up your, and their, wires, resulting in I^2R energy losses, which represents money.

Factories which have a lot of machinery which draw lots of lagging power factor currents have a capacitor bank on a pole connected to their incoming power line. The negative VARs of the capacitors cancel the positive VARs of the inductance of the machinery and reduces the losses on the power company lines. Since I^2R losses on these lines represent power they had to generate for which you don't pay, they force large users to do power factor correction by adding capacitors whose negative VARs cancel out the factory's positive VARs from their machines.

Now what about your power bill? Any VARs which your BA draws cost you money since it heats up the line cord and your internal wiring and you pay for that power. What you need is some positive VARs to cancel out the negative VARs of the capacitors. Well, you have lots of positive VARs in your BA (too much, in fact) because the power transformer is inductive and draws positive VARs.

The end conclusion is that the negative reactive power drawn by the line bypass capacitors cancels out some of the positive reactive power drawn by the transformer. The more capacity, the more negative VARs you have to cancel the positive of your tranny. So larger bypass means more money saved.

If you figure out how much money you save by having line bypass capacitors

that are larger than necessary, and save up all that money, you may, after 10 or 20 years be able to buy one Christmas tree ornament with the money.

Aren't you glad you went Christmas shopping instead of reading this?

Art K3HBA

Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 15:05:06 -0800
From: Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
Subject: Re: 390A - What do I do now?
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <0G030056UMSQ9X@mta6.snfc21.pbi.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Art;

> Someone on this thread suggested that using smaller line bypass
capacitors
> would save you money by consuming less power. In fact, larger capacitors
save
> you MORE money than smaller capacitors.

Goes to show simple answers are simply stupid. I wonder what I could find on ePuddle that measures true power consumption correctly.

Arden Allen KB6NAX Vallejo, CA gumbear@pacbell.net

Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 16:37:43 -0700
Message-ID: <001d01c1810a\$80aecd00\$0100a8c0@smeccxp>
From: "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: skywide@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: The Spark Transmitter and Early Radio]
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

great work on the history!

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jerry Proc" <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: <skywide@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2001 10:08 AM
Subject: [Fwd: The Spark Transmitter and Early Radio]

> Subject:[The WS#19 Group] Must-read article about spark and early radio
> Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 11:40:04 -0500
> From: Chuck Counselman <ccc@space.mit.edu>
> To:19set@yahoogroups.com
> CC: charles.a.hobson@btinternet.com
>
> Just when you thought you couldn't stand to read another word about
> spark transmitters, along comes the best article ever:
>
> http://ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html.
>
> A must-read for anyone interested in the early development of radio,
> particularly for Canadians and Fessenden fans. Written by well-known
> Canadian radioscientist Jack Belrose, VE2CV.
>
> Thanks to Chuck G0MDK <charles.a.hobson@btinternet.com> for pointing
> out this exceptional article.
>
> 73 de Chuck, W1HIS
>
> --
>
> Regards,
> Jerry Proc VE3FAB
> Toronto, Ontario
> e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
> <http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>
>
>
>

Message-Id: <3.0.3.32.20011209200651.01383eb8@pop-server.nc.rr.com>
Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 20:06:51 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
Subject: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Good lord willing (and UPS too) and the creek dont rise,
I'll have this in a couple days.

pics:
<http://www.old-radios.com/i-1.jpg>
<http://www.old-radios.com/i-2.jpg>

Certainly has RCA knobs. The bolted on tuning cap covers reminds me of AR88 construction. Is this some sort of RCA Entertainment receiver, w/ shortwave?

Whazzit?
John

John Brewer - WB50AU/4
AMI #24
Clayton NC
johnmb@nc.rr.com

Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 18:33:16 -0700
Message-ID: <007501c1811a\$a50956b0\$0100a8c0@smeccxp>
From: "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

yea! it is a Scott morale receiver! ww II vintage that someone has replaced the front panel with a wood one or covered it in veneer?

ed shape archivist for smecc
----- Original Message -----
From: "john" <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2001 6:06 PM
Subject: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?

> Good lord willing (and UPS too) and the creek dont rise,
> I'll have this in a couple days.
>
> pics:
> <http://www.old-radios.com/i-1.jpg>
> <http://www.old-radios.com/i-2.jpg>
>
> Certainly has RCA knobs. The bolted on tuning cap covers
> reminds me of AR88 construction. Is this some sort of
> RCA Entertainment receiver, w/ shortwave?

>
> Whazzit?
> John
>
>
>
> -----
> John Brewer - WB50AU/4
> AMI #24
> Clayton NC
> johnmb@nc.rr.com
> -----
>
>
>

Message-ID: <3C1411D0.1E49BD1F@dancris.com>
Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 18:37:18 -0700
From: David Hollander <n7rk@dancris.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; x-mac-type="54455854"; x-mac-creator="4D4F5353"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi John - Looks like a Scott receiver from W.W.II and I bet it weighs more than an SX-28 too. I just traded off a Scott The chassis on yours looks identical but it did not have a slide rule dial. Enjoy it!

Dave

--

Dave N7RK - Webmaster CADXA <http://cadxa.org>
Phoenix, Arizona *DXCC Honor Roll* *WAZ#23 - 75 Meter SSB*

ex-XE2/N7RK, N7RK/ZB2, VK2ERK, ZM0AJN, WB6NRK, WN6IWX

Boatanchor Collector Extraordinaire preferring Hallicrafters, National and what ever else looks interesting!

E-Mail: n7rk@dancris.com Home Page:
<http://www.dancris.com/~n7rk>

Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 18:45:53 -0700
Message-ID: <007901c1811c\$684d7a60\$0100a8c0@smeccxp>
From: "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

go to
http://www.schroeder-dieball.com/scottradiolabs/rbo2_srl.htm

this is what yours was like in military dress....
Scott built great stuff.. and this radio (when in its metal case) was
extremely well shielded to keep the enemy from picking up radiation from the
local osc. and homing in on it.....

ed sharpe archivist for smecc
----- Original Message -----
From: "john" <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2001 6:06 PM
Subject: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?

> Good lord willing (and UPS too) and the creek dont rise,
> I'll have this in a couple days.
>
> pics:
> <http://www.old-radios.com/i-1.jpg>
> <http://www.old-radios.com/i-2.jpg>
>
> Certainly has RCA knobs. The bolted on tuning cap covers
> reminds me of AR88 construction. Is this some sort of
> RCA Entertainment receiver, w/ shortwave?
>
> Whazzit?
> John
>
>
>
> -----
> John Brewer - WB50AU/4
> AMI #24
> Clayton NC
> johnmb@nc.rr.com
> -----

>
>
>

Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 18:54:23 -0700
Message-ID: <008601c1811d\$988b8680\$0100a8c0@smeccxp>
From: "ed sharpe" <esharpe@uswest.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

the one you had without the slide rule dial was a 'RCH' this actually is a better communications recv. than the other one but both are pretty neat radios! the rch was also well shielded for preventing the enemy from pricking up the local osc... as I recall the RCH is heavier... we have one in the museum and by back lived in fear every time we consider moving it! actually ours is called a slr-f.

see our Scott SLR-F at
http://www.smecc.org/ww2_communications.htm

see the rch at
<http://www.schroeder-dieball.com/scottradiolabs/radios/rch.htm>

----- Original Message -----

From: "David Hollander" <n7rk@dancris.com>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2001 6:37 PM
Subject: Re: whazzit receiver (pictures) anyone recognize?

> Hi John - Looks like a Scott receiver from W.W.II and I bet it weighs
> more than an SX-28 too. I just traded off a Scott The chassis on yours
> looks identical but it did not have a slide rule dial. Enjoy it!

>

> Dave

>

> --

> *****

> Dave N7RK - Webmaster CADXA <http://cadxa.org>

> Phoenix, Arizona *DXCC Honor Roll* *WAZ#23 - 75 Meter SSB*

>

> ex-XE2/N7RK, N7RK/ZB2, VK2ERK, ZM0AJN, WB6NRK, WN6IWX

>
> Boatanchor Collector Extraordinaire preferring Hallicrafters, National
> and what ever else looks interesting!
>
> E-Mail: n7rk@dancris.com Home Page:
> <http://www.dancris.com/~n7rk>
>
>
>

Message-ID: <3C141C2D.C31FDD2C@mwci.net>
Date: Sun, 09 Dec 2001 20:21:33 -0600
From: Robert Nickels <ranickel@mwci.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Field Day - 1930's style
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Found this photo in the basement of a 90 year old ham.

<http://users.mwci.net/~ranickel/thirtiesfieldday.jpg>

He said it was taken at Field Day in the 1930's which seems about right judging from the RME 69. The transmitter appears to be homebrew, but looks plenty capable of loading down whatever they used as a generator!

Wonder if they loaded up the fenceline?

73, Bob W9RAN

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3265
